



Inflection Points

Statement of Ethical Standards

July 2025

We rigorously fact-check all of our articles

Our editorial team independently fact-check articles using both sources provided by our authors and broader contextual research. Our team has experience spanning each of our four focus points (short [bios](#) of our editorial team are published on our site).

That being said, many of the topics we engage with are (deliberately) complex in nature. If we make an error, we will update the article to correct it. We will also note that such a correction has been made. You can flag any concerns at editors@inflectionpoints.work.

We are independent from political influence

We have received support from [Open Philanthropy](#) and [Emergent Ventures](#). Neither Open Philanthropy nor Emergent Ventures have any editorial control over what we publish. They do not review, approve, or suggest content. Their support is offered with no strings attached.

We also ask our authors to declare conflicts of interest prior to the publication of their pieces. Where a material conflict of interest exists, we disclose it alongside the article.

We strive to bring a balanced perspective

We strive for a balanced representation of voices within our publication. With this in mind, we actively track the demographics of both our pipeline and articles that are published. Our open [pitch](#) process provides authors who may have been overlooked in our standard commissioning process an opportunity to have their voices heard.

We also welcome correspondence, in the form of *Reflection Points*, which we publish after each edition is released. While we can't publish all correspondence we receive, we aim to publish a balanced set of the views we hear. Email us at reflection@inflectionpoints.work.

We don't necessarily endorse all that we publish

Reform is complex. People of goodwill can reach diverging conclusions about the best course of action when presented with the same set of facts. We publish perspectives which we believe are important to be heard. This may include analysis which, even if we may not agree with its conclusion, provides a relevant and underrepresented perspective on reform.

Accordingly, the views published in Inflection Points only represent those of the author of that specific article. They do not, necessarily, reflect the beliefs of the editorial team, our funders, other Inflection Points authors, or their employers.